

DEFENDANT

RONALD FLOYD WHITE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CORRECTED

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-42-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
6-30 80

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Joel Wohlgemuth, Ct. Apptd.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being ~~no~~g/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant ~~is found guilty~~ is NOT GUILTY as found by the Court on the ruling on motion for Judgment of Acquittal and the Indictment is dismissed.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

James O. Ellison

U.S. Magistrate

Date

6-30-80

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
RONALD FLOYD WHITE,)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 80-CR-42-E

JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL

FILED

JUN 27 1980

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The Court has for its consideration the motion of the defendant, Ronald Floyd White, for a judgment of acquittal with respect to the offenses charged in the Indictment. The plaintiff, the United States of America, is represented by Kenneth P. Snoke, Esq., Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendant, Ronald Floyd White is represented by Joel L. Wohlgemuth, Esq. The Court has heard and considered all of the evidence presented at trial by the Government and defendant, has received the statements of counsel, and has examined the legal authorities presented by the parties with respect to the pending motion. Based upon the foregoing, and for the reasons hereinafter stated, the Court does find and conclude as follows:

1. The defendant, following the close of evidence offered by the Government, moved the Court for a judgment of acquittal with respect to both of the offenses charged in the indictment. The defendant again moved for a judgment of acquittal at the close of all of the evidence. The Court has reserved its decision on that motion, in accordance with Rule 29(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, until following return of the jury verdict.

2. The jury has returned a verdict of guilty against the defendant on both Count I and Count II of the Indictment. The jury was polled at the request of the defendant, and has thereafter been discharged by this Court.

3. The proper test with regard to the consideration of defendant's motion for judgment of acquittal was announced by Judge Prettyman in Curley v. United States, 160 F.2d 229, 232-233 (D.C.Cir. 1947), cert. den., 67 S.Ct. 1511, 1512, 331 U.S. 837, 91 L.Ed.2d 1850:

"If the evidence is such that reasonable jurymen must necessarily have such a doubt, the judge must require acquittal, because no other result is permissible within the fixed bounds of jury consideration. But if a reasonable mind might fairly have a reasonable doubt or might fairly not have one, the case is for the jury, and the decision is for the jurors to make. * * * The true rule, therefore, is that a trial judge, in passing upon a motion for directed verdict of acquittal, must determine whether upon the evidence, giving full play to the right of the jury to determine credibility, weigh the evidence, and draw justifiable inferences of fact, a reasonable mind might fairly conclude guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. If he concludes that upon the evidence there must be such a doubt in a reasonable mind, he must grant the motion; or, to state it another way, if there is no evidence upon which a reasonable mind might fairly conclude guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, the motion must be granted. If he concludes that either of the two results, a reasonable doubt or no reasonable doubt, is fairly possible, he must let the jury decide the matter."

4. The standard in Curley v. United States, supra, is consistent with the principles set forth by the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in Maguire v. United States, 358 F.2d 442, 444 (10th Cir. 1966), cert. dismissed, 87 S.Ct. 9, 385 U.S. 801, 17 L.Ed.2d 48, cert denied, 87 S.Ct. 138, 385 U.S. 870, 17 L.Ed.2d 97. In that case it is stated:

"In considering the motion for acquittal, the trial court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the government, together with inferences which may

fairly be drawn therefrom, and then determine whether there is substantial evidence from which a jury might properly find the accused guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. This standard is applicable to each of the several elements of the offense."

Accord, United States v. Lopez, 576 F.2d 840 (10th Cir. 1978).

5. The Court has profound respect for the proper roles of both the Court and the jury in a criminal jury trial. In this case, applying the test set forth by the Court in Curley v. United States, supra, the Court concludes that giving full respect to the jury's right to determine credibility, weigh the evidence, and draw justifiable inferences of fact, there is no evidence upon which a reasonable mind might fairly conclude that the defendant is guilty of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt. In other words, in this case a reasonable jurymen must necessarily have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of this defendant with respect to both Count I and Count II of the Indictment. Accordingly, defendant's judgment of acquittal must be granted.

The verdict of the jury with respect to Count I and Count II of the Indictment is hereby set aside, and the Court orders that a judgment of acquittal be entered on this date as to such alleged offenses.

DATED this ^{27th} ~~23rd~~ day of June, 1980.

/s/ James O. Ellison
JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Kenneth P. Snoke
Assistant United States Attorney

Joel L. Wohlgenuth
Joel L. Wohlgenuth
Attorney for the Defendant

DEFENDANT

GEORGE K. AKIN

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-56-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH
6

DAY
26

YEAR
80

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Joseph P. Clark, Jr. Ct. Apptd.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☐ NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 1708 & 2 as charged in Count one of the Indictment.**

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Observation and study at an appropriate classification center or agency, the results of such study to be furnished the Court within 60 days, pursuant to the Federal Adult Youth Correction Act, as provided in T. 18, U.S.C., Section 4216:5010(e).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, **placement in the U. S. Medical Center for Federal Prisoners, Springfield, Mo.**

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

James O. Ellison

By

() CLERK

☐ U.S. Magistrate

Date

6-26-80

() DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

JOHN D. LOGSDON

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-24-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
6- 26 80

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Terry P. Malloy, Ct. Apptd.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2314 & 2 as charged in the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is hereby placed on probation for a period of FIVE (5) years from this date.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The special condition of probation is that the defendant participate in a psychiatric or physiological program as directed by the probation office.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

James O. Ellison

By

CLERK

DEPUTY

U.S. Magistrate

Date 6-26-80

DEFENDANT

ROBERT E. LEE POOL

DOCKET NO. ➔

80-CR-34-BT**JUDGMENT AND PROBATION'S COMMITMENT ORDER**

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date ➔

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<u>06</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>80</u>

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSELRobert S. Lowery, Appointed Counsel

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☒ NOT GUILTYFINDING &
JUDGMENTThere being a ~~verdict~~/verdict of☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
☒ GUILTY.Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated T. 18, U.S.C.,
Section 1709, as charged in the Indictment.**SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDERThe court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that ~~the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General and recommended to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for sentence.~~**Count 1 - The imposition of sentence is suspended
and defendant Robert E. Lee Pool is hereby
placed on probation for a period of
One (1) Year from this date as to Count 1.**SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

Approved as to form:Kenneth P. Snoke**Assistant U.S. Attorney**It is ordered that the Clerk deliver
a certified copy of this judgment
and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
shal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE 6-30-80By Handwritten Signature
() CLERK
() DEPUTY

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge**Honorable Thomas R. Brett**Date June 25, 1980

h3

DEFENDANT

RONALD FLOYD WHITE

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-42-E

JUDGMENT

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH

DAY

YEAR

6

23

80

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Joel Wohlgemuth, Ct. Apptd.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of

NOT GUILTY.

Defendant is discharged, and the Indictment is dismissed.

GUILTY.

Defendant is not guilty as found by the Court on the ruling on motion for Judgment of Acquittal.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and sentenced and ordered that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

FILED

JUN 23 1980

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

U.S. District Judge

James O. Ellison

By

U.S. Magistrate

Date 6-23-80

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

ho

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of Oklahoma

United States of America

vs.

EDWARD C. MILLS and
HOWARD RAY AVERY,

Criminal No. 80-CR-1-C

FILED

JUN 17 1980

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal U. S. DISTRICT COURT
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses the 2nd Count of the Indictment against
(indictment, information, complaint)
EDWARD C. MILLS, defendant.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

Richard L. Rounley
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. [Signature]
United States District Judge

Date: June 17, 1980

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

40

DEFENDANT

EDWARD C. MILLS

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-1-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
06 17 1980

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Ronald H. Mook

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of Title 21, U.S.C. Section 841(a)(1) as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment,

FILED

JUN 17 1980

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count 1 - Two and One-Half (2 1/2) Years, with a Special Parole Term of Four (4) Years, to commence at the expiration of sentence imposed herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is suspended until July 14, 1980 at 9:00 A.M., at which time the defendant is to report to the U. S. Marshal, Tulsa, Oklahoma, or to the institution designated by the U. S. Marshal.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

H. Dale Cook

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

U.S. Magistrate

Date

June 17, 1980

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

United States of America

vs.

EDWARD C. MILLS and
HOWARD RAY AVERY

Criminal No. 80-CR-1-C

FILED

pm JUN 17 1980

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses the 2nd Count of the Indictment against
(indictment, information, complaint)
HOWARD RAY AVERY defendant.

Donald L. Lundy
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. L. Lundy
United States District Judge

Date: June 17, 1980

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

h

DEFENDANT

HOWARD RAY AVERY

DOCKET NO. 80-CR-1

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date MONTH DAY YEAR 06 17 1980
WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
WITH COUNSEL Ronald H. Mook (Name of counsel)

PLEA GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT There being a finding of GUILTY.
Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 21, U.S.C. Section 841(a)(1), as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment. FILED JUN 17 1980 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no such reason to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of
Count 1 - Two and One-Half (2 1/2) Years, with a Special Parole Term of Four (4) Years, to commence at the expiration of the sentence imposed herein.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is suspended until July 28, 1980 at 9:00 A.M., at which time the defendant is to report to the U. S. Marshal, Tulsa, Oklahoma, or to the institution designated by the U. S. Marshal.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.
The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY H. Dale Cook U.S. District Judge Date June 17, 1980

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

vs.

JOHNNY W. WALDON

Criminal No. 79-CR-116-C

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

pm JUN 17 1980

Jack G. Silver, Clerk
S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Counts 3 & 4 of the Indictment against
(indictment, information, complaint)
Johnny W. Waldon, defendant.

HUBERT H. BRYANT
United States Attorney


Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.


United States District Judge

Date: ~~May~~ *June 17*, 1980

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

60

DEFENDANT

JOHNNY WAYNE WALDON

DOCKET NO. 79-CR-116-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 06 17 1980

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

X WITH COUNSEL

Charles Whitman

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

X GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

X GUILTY.

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C. Sections 495 and 1708, as charged in the Indictment FILED

JUN 17 1980

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

EIGHTEEN (18) MONTHS, as to Counts One (1) and Two (2).

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentence imposed as to Count Two (2) shall run concurrent with the sentence imposed as to Count One (1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is deferred until Monday, June 30, 1980 at 9:00 A.M., at which time the defendant is to report to the U. S. Marshal, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

X U.S. District Judge

H. Dale Cook

By

() CLERK

U.S. Magistrate

Date June 17, 1980

() DEPUTY

ho

DEFENDANT

JOHNNY OTIS HAMPTON

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-55-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
6	13	80

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSELCharles W. Hack, Ct. Appointed.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☐ NOT GUILTY

JUN 13 1980

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

There being a finding of fact of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged☒ GUILTY.Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURTDefendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated title 18, U.S.C.,
Section 495, as charged in counts one and two of the Indictment.**SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

**Counts 1 and 2 - FIVE (5) YEARS and a FINE in the sum of \$500.00
as to each count, to run concurrently.****IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the imposition of sentence is suspended
as to both counts and the defendant is hereby placed on probation
for a period of FIVE (5) YEARS.**SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION**A SPECIAL CONDITION OF PROBATION is that the defendant make
restitution to the F & M Bank and The Bank of Oklahoma in
regular payments as the probation office requires.**ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver
a certified copy of this judgment
and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
shal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

James O. Ellison

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

☐ U.S. Magistrate

Date 6-13-80

ho

DEFENDANT
MELVIN E. JACOBS

DOCKET NO. 80-CR-57-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL
In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date 6 MONTH 12 DAY 80 YEAR
☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
☒ WITH COUNSEL Charles H. Froeb, Ct. Apptd. (Name of counsel)

PLEA
☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, ☐ NOLO CONTENDERE, ☐ NOT GUILTY
JUN 12 1980

FINDING & JUDGMENT
There being a finding of ☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged ☒ GUILTY.
Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Sections 1708 and 495, as charged in counts one and three of the indictment.
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER
The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of
Counts 1 and 3 - ONE (1) YEAR as to each count.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentence imposed in Count 3 run consecutively with Count 1.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION
In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT COMMENTATION
The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, placement in a drug treatment center.
It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
THIS DATE

SIGNED BY
☒ U.S. District Judge James O. Ellison
☐ U.S. Magistrate
By () CLERK () DEPUTY
Date 6-12-80

DEFENDANT

JIM R. McCORMICK

DOCKET NO. ➤

80-CR-35-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (5/75)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date ➤

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
6	6	80

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel

☒ WITH COUNSEL

James O. Goodwin, Retained

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☐ NOT GUILTYJUN - 6 1980
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURTFINDING &
JUDGMENTThere being a finding ~~of fact~~ of☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged☒ GUILTY.Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C. Section 1361, as charged in the Information.**SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that ~~the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative~~ **the imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is hereby placed on probation for a period of ONE (1) YEAR, Unsupervised.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant is fined \$600.00, payable to the U. S. Court Clerk, Northern District of Oklahoma, to be paid during the period of probation.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE _____

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge☐ U.S. Magistrate

James O. Ellison

Date

6-6-80

By _____

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

60

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

JUN 4 1980

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

United States of America

vs.

RAYMOND B. HUSELTON JR.

Criminal No. 80-CR-56

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses the FIRST COUNT OF THE INDICTMENT against
(indictment, information, complaint)
RAYMOND B. HUSELTON JR. defendant.


Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.


United States District Judge

Date: June 4, 1980

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

JUN 4 1980

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

vs.

GEORGE K. AKIN

Criminal No. 80-CR-56-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses the SECOND COUNT OF THE INDICTMENT against
(indictment, information, complaint)
GEORGE K. AKIN defendant.


Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.


United States District Judge

Date: June 4, 1980

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

ho

DEFENDANT

EDDIE EUGENE PARKER

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-41-01 (C)

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
06 03 1980

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

James W. Barlow

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Sections 1708, 495 and 2, as charged in Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that

THE IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five, Six, Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten is hereby suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) Years from this date, as to each count; said probation imposed in Counts Two through Ten to run concurrent with the probation imposed in Count One.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant shall make restitution in such regular amounts as the Probation Office requires, based on the circumstances of the defendant.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

H. DALE COOK

Date

June 3, 1980

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

U.S. Magistrate

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

Criminal No. 80-CR-40-C

vs.

CLIFFORD A. CHRISTIE

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

per JUN 3 1980

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7 only of the indictment
(indictment, information, complaint)
against CLIFFORD A. CHRISTIE, defendant.

Kenneth P. Snake
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Book
United States District Judge

Date:

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

3.

ho

DEFENDANT

CLIFFORD A. CHRISTIE

DOCKET NO.

80-CR-40-01 (C)

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (5/75)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
06	03	1980

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Frank Hagedorn and Fred Nelson

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☐ NOT GUILTY

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

There being a finding of fact of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 26, U.S.C.,
Sections 7201 and 7206(1), as charged in Counts 5 and 6 of the
Indictment.**

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that

**THE IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE in Counts Five and Six is hereby
suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period
of Four (4) Years from this date, as to each count; said probation
imposed in Count Six to run concurrent with the probation imposed
in Count Five.**

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant is fined \$7,000.00
as to Count 5, and fined \$3,000.00 as to Count 6.**

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall stand committed
until the fine is paid, but the execution of sentence is suspended
until Monday, June 16, 1980, at 9:00 a.m.**

FILED

Jack G. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver
a certified copy of this judgment
and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
shal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK
() DEPUTY

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

(Signed) H. Dale Cook

H. DALE COOK

Date

June 3, 1980

ho